TRIGPOINT

About Trigpoint

Give every claim a reference point
to stand on.

給每個主張，一個站得住的基準點。

Contents　目錄

	English	繁體中文
What Trigpoint does Trigpoint 做什麼	01	07
Why it can be trusted 為什麼可信	02	08
What is here 內容形態	04	10
Governance and support 治理與支持	05	11
The editor 主理人	06	12

About Trigpoint · Version 1.0 · 2026-10-04

Everything in this booklet is taken from pages already published on trigpoint.pub, arranged into one sequence that can be read in a single sitting.

本刊所有內容皆取自 trigpoint.pub 已公開頁面，排成一次可讀完的次序。

The five ground rules and the human and AI division of labour are printed in full in a second booklet, Trigpoint: Ground Rules.

前置規則五條全文與人機分工聲明，見另一冊《Trigpoint: Ground Rules》。

What Trigpoint does

Trigpoint turns verified research from across disciplines into checkable knowledge nodes. Those we have field-tested in our own workflow carry the Lab-tested mark.

Take human factors: since 1948, the field has established a great deal about how people and automation work together. As AI enters everyone's workflow, that body of findings is being forgotten wholesale and the same mistakes are being repeated wholesale.

Trigpoint's position: read those who came before, stand on the shoulders of giants, then talk about innovation.

Source: Bernard of Chartres, as reported in John of Salisbury, *Metalogicon* III.4 (1159)

Mission

Trigpoint is the public face of a verifiable theory library. Every entry comes from recognized literature, passes the same verification process, and is compressed into a form you can use directly. We take reliable knowledge scattered across the literatures and lay it out as paths you can walk. New shelves open in batches on the monthly update day, and the theory layer opens to all readers that day. Subscribers can read each new shelf in full from a month before it opens, and unlock the verification details, failure boundaries and case readings of complete nodes.

What we deliver is a collection you can check, and the judgment to put it to use.

Why it can be trusted

Most of the time, opening an AI chat yourself is enough.

It is free, it is immediate, and on most questions it answers well enough. Trigpoint does not exist for those moments. It exists for the other kind, where the model is wrong fluently and confidently and you have no way to notice.

What a language model knows converges on the mode of its training corpus. Wherever consensus departs from fact, in textbook drift, in misattributed quotations, in statistics passed along uncorrected, the model will be wrong persuasively. A knowledge base verified line by line stores exactly the residual between corpus consensus and established fact. That residual is hard for a model to regenerate from its own weights, because it takes checking rather than recall.

The residual may also be widening. Fabricated content keeps entering the open web. A “25% success rate” statistic that appears nowhere in the original report is spreading between third-party sites, and that is a case we verified ourselves. Unless someone checks, future training corpora may sit further from the facts, not closer. Our working assumption is that the more fluent the models get, the more a checked residual is worth. The assumption can be refuted: if a model learns to tell corpus consensus from established fact on its own, the value of this library should be re-assessed.

We know you cannot verify our quality. A correct citation and an incorrect one read identically, unless you already know the answer. So we do not promise that every answer is right. What we commit to is the process: every load-bearing statement goes back to a primary source, verification status is marked on every page, and errors are corrected in public. You do not need to trust our conclusions. You can inspect our procedure.

Verification costs something, so the effort is graded by the cost of being wrong. High-risk claims are cross-checked across models. Ordinary citations are verified against the primary source. Definitional material is marked honestly as unverified. A citation being present does not by itself make a claim sound. The risk of a citation being misused is something we manage too, and it is one of the theories in our own library.

Give every claim a reference point to stand on.

What is here

SHELVES

THEORY LAYER · OPEN

Knowledge nodes ordered by discipline. Each node opens with a popular intuition, then uses primary sources to take apart where it goes wrong. Verification status is marked on every page.

LAB CASES

INTERPRETATION LAYER · TRIGPOINT PASS

Records of theory applied in real work, and the reading of it: the situation, what was done, the result, the limits. Material comes from our own workflow.

Governance and support

Production is bound by five ground rules, including a public corrections regime: once an error is reported and confirmed, the correction record stays on the page, with thanks to the reader who reported it.

The theory layer is open for reprint; credit it and link back to the original page.

The case interpretation library is Trigpoint Pass subscription content, and subscriptions support the independent operation of Trigpoint.

Objections to any of the content, and experience with it, are welcome through the form at the bottom of the relevant node page, with no registration required.
Once the editor has handled them, they are listed in the discussion and response record. For corrections, withdrawing a submission or privacy questions, email hello@trigpoint.pub.

The editor

Give every judgment someone who answers for it.



Ansel Editor · topic selection · field testing · source tracing · publication gate

The day job is turning AI from one-off prompts into workflows that can be governed and reused. What Trigpoint records is what that looks like in practice: which theories hold up, where they fail in application, and how the failures get repaired.

This page lists no titles and no institutions. Under the ground rules, credibility rests on verification, not on credentials.

Trigpoint 做什麼

Trigpoint 把各學科經過驗證的研究，整理為可檢驗的知識節點；在自身工作流實測過的，掛「Lab 實測」章。

以人因研究為例：由 1948 年至今，這個領域已經把「人與自動化如何協作」查得相當清楚。當 AI 進入每個人的工作流，同一批結論被整批遺忘，同一批錯誤被整批重犯。

Trigpoint 的立場是：先讀前人，站上巨人的肩膀，再談創新。

出處：Bernard of Chartres 語，見 John of Salisbury《*Metalogicon*》卷三第四章（1159）

使命

Trigpoint 是一座可查證理論庫的對外開放面。庫裡每一條理論都來自公認文獻，經同一套核實工序，壓縮成能直接使用的形態。我們把散落在各學科文獻裡的可靠知識，排成可以直接走的路徑。新書架分批於月度更新日開架，理論層當日對所有讀者公開；訂閱可於開架前一個月先讀全架，並解鎖完整節點的驗證細節、失效邊界與案例判讀。

交付的，是可以查證的收藏，也是用它下判斷的能力。

為什麼可信

多數時候，你自己開一個 AI 對話就夠了。

免費、即時，多數題目答得夠好。Trigpoint 不是為那些時刻存在的。它服務另一種時刻：模型錯得流暢、錯得自信，而你沒有辦法察覺。

語言模型的知識，收斂於訓練語料的眾數。凡是共識偏離事實的地方：教科書漂移、名言錯歸、以訛傳訛的統計，模型會錯得很有說服力。一個逐條核實的知識庫，儲存的正是語料共識與已驗事實之間的殘差。這一項，模型難以從自身權重再生：它需要的是核對，不是回憶。

而且這個殘差可能還在擴大。憑空捏造的內容持續進入公開網路。一條不存在於原始報告的「25% 成功率」統計，正在外部網站之間擴散，這是我們核實過的實例。無人核對的話，未來的訓練語料可能離事實更遠。這是我們的工作假設：模型越流暢，核對過的殘差越值錢。假設可被推翻：若模型能自行分辨語料共識與已驗事實，本庫的價值就該重估。

我們知道你無法驗證我們的品質。正確的引用和錯誤的引用，讀起來一模一樣，除非你已經知道答案。所以 Trigpoint 不保證答案一定對。我們承諾的是流程：每條重要陳述回到一手文獻，核驗狀態逐頁明標，錯誤公開修正。你不需要信任我們的結論。你可以檢查我們的工序。

驗證有成本，所以按錯誤代價分級投入。高風險主張跨模型交叉驗證，一般引用一手核實，定義性內容誠實標示不驗。引用在場，不等於可信。引用被誤用的風險，我們同樣管理，這也是我們庫裡的理論。

給每個主張，一個站得住的基準點。

內容形態

書架

理論層 · 開放

知識節點按學科成序。每個節點由一句流行的直覺開始，以一手文獻拆解它錯在哪裡；驗證狀態逐頁明標。

實測案例

判讀層 · Trigpoint Pass

理論在真實工作中的應用記錄與判讀：情境、做法、結果、限制。取材自 Trigpoint 自身的工作流。

治理與支持

內容生產受五條前置規則約束，包括公開修正制度：錯誤經指出並核實後，修正記錄留在頁上，並向指出的讀者致謝。

理論層開放轉載，署名並連回原頁即可。

案例判讀庫屬 Trigpoint Pass 訂閱內容；訂閱支持 Trigpoint 的獨立運作。

對任何內容的異議與經驗，歡迎在相關節點頁底提交，毋須註冊；編輯處理後列於討論與回應紀錄。勘誤、撤回提交或私隱查詢，請電郵 hello@trigpoint.pub。

主理人

給每個判斷，
一個負得起責的人。



Ansel 主理 · 選題 · 實測 · 溯源 · 發佈把關

日常工作是把 AI 從一次性指令，改造為可治理、可複用的工作流。Trigpoint 記錄的，是這件事實際做起來的樣子：哪些理論用得上，應用時在哪裡失效，失效之後如何修正。

本頁不列頭銜與機構。依前置規則，可信的依據在驗證，不在名銜。

TRIGPOINT

Byline: Ansel@Trigpoint

Our output: AI-assisted, human-edited.

Judgment, attribution and publication are the editor's responsibility; AI is never used to pad volume.

Trigpoint 產出：AI 協作，編輯人手審核；判讀、署名與發佈由編輯負責，不以 AI 充量。

Published by Strategitect Limited (HK) · © Strategitect Limited

GitHub · https://github.com/trigpoint-pub

About Trigpoint · Version 1.0 · 2026-10-04

Cite as: Trigpoint, “About Trigpoint”, trigpoint.pub · © Strategitect Limited.

引用格式：Trigpoint，《About Trigpoint》，trigpoint.pub · © Strategitect Limited.

Trigpoint's vision is a checkable infrastructure for judgment in the age of AI.

The reading layer of every topic becomes open to all readers; reprints must credit and link back.

Every full node goes through the same verification: a figure that has not been checked against a primary source does not appear in a chart.

Errors are corrected in public, with thanks to the readers who report them.

Trigpoint 的願景，是在 AI 時代建立一座可檢驗的判斷力基建。

每個主題的導讀都會公開，轉載須署名連回。

完整節點走同一套驗證：未經一手核實的數據，不會出現在圖表上。

錯誤公開修正，並向指出錯誤的讀者致謝。

---

trigpoint.pub