TRIGPOINT

Trigpoint: Ground Rules

Five commitments Trigpoint makes to readers

Trigpoint 對讀者的五條承諾

Contents　目錄

	English	繁體中文
Ground rules 1 to 3 前置規則一至三	**01**	**04**
Ground rules 4 to 5 前置規則四至五	**02**	**05**
How we work: human and AI 工序：人機分工	**03**	**06**

Trigpoint: Ground Rules · Version 1.0 · 2026-10-04 · Ground rules v0.3

Everything in this booklet is taken from pages already published on trigpoint.pub, arranged into one sequence that can be read in a single sitting.

本刊所有內容皆取自 trigpoint.pub 已公開頁面，排成一次可讀完的次序。

What Trigpoint does and who answers for it are covered in a second booklet, About Trigpoint.

Trigpoint 做什麼、由誰負責，見另一冊《About Trigpoint》。

Ground rules

Five commitments Trigpoint makes to readers

These five rules govern how Trigpoint produces every page. Every node goes through the same verification before it ships: load-bearing statements go back to primary sources, unverified figures stay out of the diagrams, and verification status is marked on every page. If you find us breaking any of them, submit it through the form at the bottom of the relevant node page. If it stands, it goes into the correction record, and the handling is listed publicly in the discussion and response record.

RULE ONE

DO NOT REBUILD WHAT EXISTS

Most problems have already been solved, and the people who solved them left their names on the work. We cite the named original directly. We do not rebuild it, and we do not coin a new term for it.

"Eponymy is only the most enduring and perhaps most prestigious kind of recognition institutionalized in science."

Merton (1957), Priorities in Scientific Discovery, p. 644

RULE TWO

COIN ONLY AT THE FRONTIER

A new term is coined only at the frontier that existing theory does not cover. Any coinage is declared explicitly, and it has to pass verification first.

"In this essay, 'normal science' means research firmly based upon one or more past scientific achievements, achievements that some particular scientific community acknowledges for a time as supplying the foundation for its further practice."

Kuhn (1962), The Structure of Scientific Revolutions, ch. II, p. 10

RULE THREE

VERIFY AGAINST PRIMARY SOURCES

Load-bearing statements are verified against the primary literature. Second-hand authority is not accepted. Where primary verification was not possible, the page says so.

"Nullius in verba."

Take nobody's word for it. The motto of the Royal Society, unchanged for more than three hundred and sixty years.

Royal Society (1660)

RULE FOUR

LAB-TESTED

A theory carries the Lab-tested mark only after we have field-tested it in our own work. The mark is a distinction, not an admission requirement. Nodes without a field test say so plainly.

Paraphrase · a claim counts as severely tested only once it has passed a test that should have exposed it, had it been false. The original wording is still pending primary-source tracing. Under rule three, only the sense is recorded here.

Mayo (severe testing)

RULE FIVE

CORRECTIONS IN PUBLIC

Errors are corrected in public. Pages are not edited silently. The correction record carries the date and what changed, with thanks to the reader who reported it.

Where the correction record lives: the correction section on each node page, which appears only once there is a correction; corrections from every node are also listed by date on the Updates and corrections page. The correction record thanks the reader who reported the error without giving a name or nickname. That is rule five in operation. What you submit, and any nickname you give, are never published; see section 4.1 of the privacy policy.

Paraphrase · few human activities subject their own errors to systematic criticism and correct them over time; science is one of them. The original wording is still pending primary-source tracing. Under rule three, only the sense is recorded here.

Popper (error elimination)

How we work: human and AI

Production at Trigpoint is human and AI working together. The division follows the four-stage allocation principle in Levels of automation. The full settings and the reasons for each are published in the allocation table lab case.

AI carries information gathering and first-pass analysis: source scanning, assembling literature leads, drafting, and pre-filling data. Topic selection, interpretation, the final confirmation of every source trace, and the decision to publish each page rest with the editor.

RED LINE ONE	AI does not decide theory content. Load-bearing statements are settled by primary sources, not by model memory. Anything not confirmed against a primary source is marked as such on the page.
RED LINE TWO	AI does not take a byline. A byline means a person is answerable. Trigpoint's byline is Ansel. Errors are corrected in public, and the tool is not blamed.
RED LINE THREE	AI does not publish. Every page is reviewed by hand before it goes out. Irreversible actions stay with the person.

The monitoring limits, attention mechanisms and allocation discipline you read about on the shelves are used, broken and repaired inside our own production process first. That is what separates Trigpoint from content that only restates.

前置規則

Trigpoint 對讀者的五條承諾

這五條規則管住 Trigpoint 怎樣生產每一頁內容。每個節點發佈前走同一套驗證：重要陳述回到一手文獻，未經核實的數值不入圖，核驗狀態逐頁明標。發現我們違反任何一條，歡迎在相關節點頁底提交；成立即入修正記錄，處理結果公開列於討論與回應紀錄。

規則一

不重複造車

大部分問題，前人早已解過並留下署名。我們直接引用署名原典，不重複造車，不發明新詞。

以發現者命名，只是科學裡最持久、或許最具威望的一種制度化承認。

出處：Merton (1957), Priorities in Scientific Discovery, p. 644

規則二

前沿才自創

唯有既有理論未覆蓋的最前沿，才自創新詞。自創必須顯式聲明，並先通過驗證。

在本書中，「常規科學」指堅實建立在一項或多項過往科學成就之上的研究；那些成就為某個特定科學社群在一段時間內公認為其後續實踐的基礎。

出處：Kuhn (1962), The Structure of Scientific Revolutions, ch. II, p. 10

規則三

一手核實

重要陳述回到一手文獻核實，不接受轉述權威。未能一手核實的，頁上明標核實狀態。

不隨他人之言。皇家學會會訓，三百六十多年未改。

出處：Royal Society (1660)

規則四

Lab 實測

理論在我們自己的工作裡實測過，才掛「Lab 實測」章。實測是特色章，不是收錄門檻；沒有實測的節點，誠實顯示未有。

大意 · 一項主張，唯有通過了「本應揭穿它」的檢驗，才算被嚴格測試。原句待一手溯源，此處按第三條規則只錄大意。

出處：Mayo (severe testing)／Mayo（嚴格性測試 severe testing）

規則五

錯誤公開修正

錯誤公開修正，不靜默改頁。修正記錄載明日期與改動內容，並向指出的讀者致謝。

修正記錄的位置：每個節點頁的修正記錄段（有修正才出現）；各節點的修正亦按日期彙整於更新與修正頁。修正記錄向指出錯誤的讀者致謝，但不載姓名或暱稱，這是規則五的執行面。你提交的原文與暱稱一律不公開，詳見私隱政策第 4.1 條。

大意 · 人類活動之中，會對自身錯誤進行系統批評、並隨時間逐步改正的極少；科學是其中之一。原句待一手溯源，此處按第三條規則只錄大意。

出處：Popper (error elimination)／Popper（錯誤消除 error elimination）

工序：人機分工

Trigpoint 的內容生產採用人機協作，分工按〈自動化等級〉的四階段配權原則執行；完整檔位與理由，公開於配權表實測案例。資訊蒐集與初稿分析由 AI 承擔：來源掃描、文獻線索整理、草稿與數據預填。選題、判讀、文獻溯源的最後確認、以及每一頁的發佈決定，由主理人負責。

紅線一	AI 不決定理論內容。重要陳述以一手文獻為準，不以模型記憶為準；未能一手核實的，頁上明標。
紅線二	AI 不署名。署名代表有人負責；Trigpoint 的署名是 Ansel。錯誤公開修正，不歸咎工具。
紅線三	AI 不發佈。每一頁發佈前經人手覆核；不可逆的操作保留在人。

讀者在書架讀到的監控極限、注意力機制與配權紀律，先在我們自己的生產流程中使用、失效、修正。這是 Trigpoint 與轉述式內容的分別。

TRIGPOINT

Byline: Ansel@Trigpoint

Our output: AI-assisted, human-edited.

Judgment, attribution and publication are the editor's responsibility; AI is never used to pad volume.

Trigpoint 產出：AI 協作，編輯人手審核；判讀、署名與發佈由編輯負責，不以 AI 充量。

Published by Strategitect Limited (HK) · © Strategitect Limited

GitHub · https://github.com/trigpoint-pub

Trigpoint: Ground Rules · Version 1.0 · 2026-10-04 · Ground rules v0.3

Cite as: Trigpoint, “Trigpoint: Ground Rules”, trigpoint.pub · © Strategitect Limited.

引用格式：Trigpoint，《Trigpoint: Ground Rules》，trigpoint.pub · © Strategitect Limited.

Give every claim a reference point to stand on.

給每個主張，一個站得住的基準點。